## Approv For Release 2002/05/17 : CIA-RDP84-00313R000200110028-8

DD/S 66-0611

3 FEB 1966

STATINTI	MEMORANDUM FOR:	OP/BSD
SIAIINII	MINIMOTOTAL DOWN I OFFI	

We appreciate very much the early submission of Mr. Fuchs' nomination for the Federal Accountants Award and I hope that, since we have more time than usual, you will not mind a suggestion and a few "nit-picks". I have made light pencil marks on the proposed letter from the Director to the Accountants Association and on Mr. Fuchs' biographic and nominating paper. Let me explain why:

a. Number 1 is a minor nit-pick which appears to be the omission of the word "Program" following "Awards" in the first sentence. No one could misconstrue the meaning of this sentence without that word but the Association in all similar phrases refers to the Awards Program.

Changed - Is

b. My real suggestion is at number 2. Do you wish to conclude that the Awards Committee will understand that the description of Mr. Fuchs' achievements is in fact limited for the reason stated? Might it not be better to offer one of our senior officials to brief the Awards Committee if they feel more information is desired.

Change In

c. No strong feelings about this (yes) but the phrase "these improvements" does not seem to fit well with the preceding statements.

Change In

d. A small nit-pick but, by common useage, it seems the word "data" has been omitted.  $(\gamma, \mu)$ 

"Late "websel 800

e. Does the first part of the second sentence add to the quality of the paper?

Derita

Over-all, this appears to be an excellent writeup and a strong one. If you feel the minor points mentioned should not result in change, please resubmit the paper. Again, thanks for the timely submission of the nomination. If you make changes, I hope the paper can be returned next week.

VRT

Attachments

Memo dtd 1 Feb 66 for DCI, thru DD/S, fr D/Pers, subj: Nomination of Robert H. Fuchs for the Federal Accountants Award, w/Atts

Approved For Release 2002/05/17 : CIA-RDP84-00313R000200110028-8